SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission is extending the comment period for its rule review of the TSR to November 13, 2014. The Commission’s Notice requesting public comment posed an extensive list of questions on the costs, benefits and efficacy of the TSR in the marketplace, and whether the Commission should retain, modify, or rescind it. The Commission also specifically requested comment on three issues: (1) Whether the preacquired account information provisions of the TSR should be modified in view of current credit card association rules and the Restore Online Shoppers Confidence Act, 15 U.S.C. 8401 (2010); (2) what impact, if any, the increasing use of social media to solicit inbound calls from consumers to purchase a variety of goods or services, including those involving a negative option or free trial, has on; and (3) the costs and burdens of modifying the recordkeeping requirements of the TSR to require telemarketers to retain their own call records. The regulatory review comment period was to end on October 14, 2014. In a letter dated September 25, 2014, which the Commission received on September 29, 2014, the Professional Association for Customer Engagement (“PACE”) requested that the Commission extend the comment period for an additional two months. The Commission recognizes that the extensive list of questions on which it has requested public comment raise significant issues and believes that extending the comment period for 30 days will be sufficient to facilitate a more complete record.

You can file a comment online or on paper. For the Commission to consider your comment, we must receive it on or before November 13, 2014. Write “Telemarketing Sales Rule Regulatory Review, 16 CFR Part 310, Project No. R411001” on your comment. File your comment online at https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/telemarketsalesnprm by following the instructions on the Web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite CC–5610 (Annex B), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW., 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex B), Washington, DC 20024.

If you prefer to file your comment on paper, write “Telemarketing Sales Rule Regulatory Review, 16 CFR Part 310, Project No. R411001” on your comment and on the envelope and mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite CC–5610 (Annex B), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW., 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex B), Washington, DC 20024. If possible, submit your paper comment to the Commission by courier or overnight service.

Visit the Commission Web site at http://www.ftc.gov to read the August 11, 2014 Notice and the news release describing it. The FTC Act and other laws that the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate.

The Commission will consider all timely and responsive public comments that it receives on or before November 13, 2014. You can find more information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, in the Commission’s privacy policy, at http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.htm.

By direction of the Commission.

Janice Podoll Frankle, Acting Secretary.
[FR Doc. 2014–24247 Filed 10–9–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 191 and 194

Review Process To Determine Whether the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Continues To Comply With the Disposal Regulations and Compliance Criteria

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of availability; official opening of public comment period.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA, or the Agency) intends to evaluate whether or not the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) continues to comply with the Agency’s environmental radiation protection standards for the disposal of radioactive waste. Pursuant to the 1992 WIPP Land Withdrawal Act (LWA), as amended, the

---

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE, or the Department) must submit documentation of continued compliance with the EPA’s standards for disposal and other statutory requirements every five years after the initial receipt of transuranic waste at WIPP.

The DOE’s 2014 Compliance Recertification Application (CRA) was received by the EPA on March 26, 2014, and a copy may be found on the EPA’s WIPP Web site (http://www.epa.gov/radiation/wipp/2014application.html) and in the public docket (see ADDRESSES Section). The EPA will determine when the DOE has provided a complete application; the Agency’s completeness determination will be conveyed to the DOE and published in the Federal Register. The EPA will evaluate the “complete” application in determining whether the WIPP facility continues to comply with the radiation protection standards for disposal. The Agency requests public comment on all aspects of the DOE’s application.

DATES: Comments in response to DOE’s 2014 recertification application must be received by the end of the comment period. The comment period will extend beyond the time when the EPA notifies the DOE that the recertification application is complete. The ending date of the public comment period will be specified in a subsequent Federal Register document. Announcements will be published in the Federal Register to provide information on the Agency’s completeness determination and final recertification decision.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OAR–2014–0609, by one of the following methods:

• www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
• Email: to a-and-r-docket@epa.gov.
• Fax: 202–566–1741.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Attn: Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OAR–2014–0609. The Agency’s policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through www.regulations.gov or email. The www.regulations.gov Web site is an “anonymous access” system, which means the EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to the EPA without going through www.regulations.gov your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, the EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD–ROM you submit. If the EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, the EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters or any form of encryption and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about the EPA’s public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information may not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically at www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Air and Radiation Docket, the EPA/DC, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the Air and Radiation Docket is (202) 566–1742. As provided in the EPA’s regulations at 40 CFR part 2, and in accordance with normal the EPA docket procedures, if copies of any docket materials are requested, a reasonable fee may be charged for photocopying. These documents are also available for review in electronic (CD/DVD) format at the WIPP Information Center in DOE’s Carlsbad Field Office (Skeen-Whitlock Building). The Carlsbad WIPP Information Center is open from 8:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the WIPP Information Center is 1–800–336–WIPP.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for the EPA?

1. Submitting CBI. Do not submit this information to the EPA through www.regulations.gov or email. Clearly mark the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD–ROM that you mail to the EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD–ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD–ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket.

Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. Tips for Preparing Your Comments.

When submitting comments, remember to:

• Identify the rulemaking by docket number and other identifying information (subject heading, Federal Register date and page number).
• Follow directions—the agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.
• Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.
• Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/or data that you used.
• If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.
• Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns, and suggest alternatives.
• Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.
• Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

II. Background

The WIPP was authorized in 1980, under section 213 of the DOE National...
Radioactive waste, and require generic disposal standards at 40 CFR 61270 Federal Register
Washington. Ohio, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Colorado, Idaho, New Mexico, Nevada, waste proposed for disposal at the WIPP Resource Conservation and Recovery Commission. The TRU radioactive waste proposed for disposal by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. The TRU radioactive waste is defined as waste containing more than 100 nano-curies per gram of alpha-emitting radioactive isotopes, with half-lives greater than twenty years and atomic numbers greater than 92. The Act further stipulates that radioactive waste shall not be TRU waste if such waste also meets the definition of high-level radioactive waste, has been specifically exempted from regulation with the concurrence of the Administrator, or has been approved for an alternate method of disposal by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. The TRU radioactive waste proposed for disposal in the WIPP consists of materials such as rags, equipment, tools, protective gear and sludges that have become contaminated during atomic energy defense activities. The radioactive component of TRU waste consists of man-made elements created during the process of nuclear fission, chiefly isotopes of plutonium. Some TRU waste is contaminated with hazardous wastes regulated under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA; 42 U.S.C. 6901–6992k). The waste proposed for disposal at the WIPP derives from Federal facilities across the United States, including locations in Colorado, Ohio, New Mexico, Nevada, Ohio, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Washington. The WIPP must meet the EPA’s generic disposal standards at 40 CFR Part 191, Subparts B and C, for high-level and TRU radioactive waste. These standards limit releases of radioactive materials from disposal systems for radioactive waste, and require implementation of measures to provide confidence for compliance with the radiation release limits. Additionally, the regulations limit radiation doses to members of the public, and protect ground water resources by establishing maximum concentrations for radionuclides in ground water. To determine whether the WIPP facility meets these disposal standards, the Agency issued the 1997 WIPP Compliance Criteria (40 CFR part 194), which interprets and implements the disposal standards specifically for the WIPP site. The Compliance Criteria—along with its accompanying preamble and supporting documents—describe what information the DOE must provide and how the EPA evaluates WIPP’s performance and provides ongoing independent oversight. Thus, the Agency implemented its environmental radiation protection standards, 40 CFR part 191, by applying the WIPP Compliance Criteria, 40 CFR part 194, to the disposal of TRU radioactive waste at the WIPP. For more information about 40 CFR part 191, refer to Federal Register notices published in 1985 (50 FR 38066–38089, September 19, 1985) and 1993 (58 FR 66398–66416, December 20, 1993). For more information about 40 CFR part 194, refer to Federal Register notices published in 1996 (61 FR 5224–5245, February 9, 1996) and 1995 (60 FR 5766–5791, January 30, 1995). Using the process outlined in the WIPP Compliance Criteria, the EPA determined on May 18, 1998 (63 FR 27354), that DOE had demonstrated that the WIPP complied with Agency’s radioactive waste disposal regulations at subparts B and C of 40 CFR part 191. The EPA’s certification determination permitted the WIPP to begin accepting TRU waste for disposal, provided that other applicable conditions and environmental regulations were met. Since the 1998 certification decision, the EPA has conducted ongoing independent technical review and inspections of all WIPP activities related to compliance with the EPA’s disposal regulations. The initial certification decision identified the starting (baseline) conditions for the WIPP site and established the waste and facility characteristics necessary to ensure proper disposal in accordance with the regulations. At that time, the EPA and the DOE understood that future information and knowledge gained from the actual operations of the WIPP would result in changes to best practices and procedures for the facility. In recognition of this, section 8(f) of the amended WIPP LWA requires the EPA to evaluate all changes in conditions or activities at the WIPP every five years to determine if the facility continues to comply with the Agency’s disposals regulations. This determination is not subject to standard rulemaking procedures or judicial review, as stated in the aforementioned section of the WIPP LWA.

The first recertification process began with the DOE’s submittal of the initial CRA, which was received by the Agency on March 26, 2004. The EPA deemed the CRA—2004 to be complete on September 29, 2005, and published its first WIPP recertification decision on March 29, 2006 (71 FR 18010).

The EPA received the Department’s second CRA on March 24, 2009. The Agency deemed the CRA—2009 to be complete on June 29, 2010, and published the second WIPP recertification decision on November 18, 2010 (75 FR 70584). The EPA received the Department’s third CRA on March 26, 2014. After EPA determined that the CRA is complete, the Agency will review the CRA—2014 to ensure that all of the changes made at the WIPP since the second recertification process have been accurately reflected and that the facility will continue to safely contain TRU radioactive waste. If the EPA approves the CRA—2014, it will set the parameters for how the WIPP will be operated by the DOE over the next five years. This approved CRA—2014 (along with any supplemental completeness information submitted by the DOE) will then serve as the baseline for the next recertification that will occur starting in 2019.

An important consideration in the EPA’s review of the DOE’s CRA—2014 is the radiation release that took place in the WIPP’s underground disposal area in February 2014. Recovery activities are currently ongoing. EPA conducted oversight activities in response to the incident and these activities are discussed on EPA’s Web site (http://www.epa.gov/radiation/news/wippnews.html#wippreadvent). EPA’s review confirmed that DOE remains in compliance with EPA’s standards; however, EPA identified several areas where improvements would enhance DOE’s ability to provide the best possible information to the public and its partner agencies during a release. Although the incident took place after the preparation of the CRA—2014, the Department has indicated that changes will need to be made to the WIPP disposal system in order to reopen the facility and that the DOE will provide supplemental information to the Agency on the incident and potential ramifications on compliance. This

1The 1992 WIPP Land Withdrawal Act was amended by the “Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Land Withdrawal Act Amendments,” which were part of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997.
information will be made available in the Agency’s public docket and posted on EPA’s WIPP Web site. The EPA is currently considering how anticipated changes in the facility design will impact the recertification process.

With today’s notice, the Agency solicits public comment on the DOE’s documentation of whether the WIPP facility continues to comply with the disposal regulations. A copy of the application is available for inspection on the EPA’s WIPP Web site (http://www.epa.gov/radiation/wipp/2014application.html) and in the public dockets described in the ADDRESSES section. Other background information related to the Agency’s recertification activities are also available in our public dockets and on our WIPP Web site. The EPA will evaluate the complete application in determining whether the WIPP continues to comply with the radiation protection standards for disposal. In addition, the EPA will consider public comment and other information relevant to the WIPP’s compliance. The Agency is most interested in public comment on issues where changes have occurred that may potentially impact the WIPP’s ability to remain in compliance with the requirements in the EPA’s disposal regulations, as well as any areas where the public believes that changes have occurred and have not been identified by the DOE. Additionally, the Agency expects to provide public meetings in New Mexico during the review process to encourage and facilitate participation by interested stakeholders.

The first step in the recertification process is a “completeness” determination. The EPA will make this completeness determination as a first step in its more extensive technical review of the application. This determination is based on a number of the Agency’s WIPP-specific guidelines, most notably, the “Compliance Application Guidance” (CAG; EPA Pub. 402–R—95–014) and “Guidance to the U.S. Department of Energy on Preparations for Recertification of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant with 40 CFR Parts 191 and 194” (Docket A—98–49, Item II–B3–14; December 12, 2000). Both guidance documents include guidelines regarding: (1) Content of certification/recertification applications; (2) documentation and format requirements; (3) time frame and evaluation process; and (4) change reporting and modification. The Agency developed these guidance documents to assist the DOE with the preparation of any compliance application for the WIPP. It is the Agency’s intent that these guidance documents give the DOE and the public a general understanding of the information that is expected to be included in a complete application of compliance. However, the DOE does not have to resubmit information already supplied to the EPA in prior recertification applications. Thus, the focus of each recertification is on any changes to the disposal system since the previous recertification decision (in this case, 2009–2010). The EPA may request additional information as necessary from the Department to ensure the completeness of the CRA. Once the 2014 recertification application is deemed complete, the EPA will provide the DOE with written notification of its completeness determination and publish a Federal Register notice announcing this determination. All correspondence between the EPA and the DOE regarding the completeness of the CRA–2014, as well as any additional supplemental information submitted by the Department, will be posted on our Web site and placed in the public dockets. The EPA will make a final decision as to whether the WIPP continues to meet the disposal regulations after each of the aforementioned steps (technical analysis of the application, issuance of a notice on the CRA–2014’s completeness in the Federal Register, and analyses of public comment) have been completed. As required by the WIPP LWA, the Agency will make a final recertification decision within six months of issuing its completeness determination.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 191 and 194


Dated: October 1, 2014.

Michael P. Flynn,
Director, Office of Radiation and Indoor Air.

[FR Doc. 2014–24260 Filed 10–9–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MB Docket No. 13–51; RM–11692; DA 14–1362]

Radio Broadcasting Services;
Ehrenberg, Arizona

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposal rule; dismissal.

SUMMARY: The Audio Division dismisses as moot the Petition for Rule Making filed by S and H Broadcasting, LLC, proposing the substitution for Channel 228C2 for vacant Channel 286C2 at Ehrenberg, Arizona because the channel substitution was made in another proceeding, MB Docket No. 11–207. The Audio Division also grants the “hybrid” application for Station KQCM, North Shore, California, File No. BPH–20120316ABT.

DATES: October 10, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rolanda F. Smith, Media Bureau, (202) 418–2700.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Report and Order, MB Docket No. 13–51, adopted September 18, 2014, and released September 19, 2014. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC’s Reference Information Center at Portals II, CY–A257, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554. This document may also be purchased from the Commission’s duplicating contractors, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 1–800–378–3160 or via email www.BCPIWEB.com. This document does not contain information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. This document is not subject to the Congressional Review Act. (The Commission is not required to submit a copy of this Report and Order to Government Accountability Office, pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, see 5 U.S.C. Section 801(a)(1)(A) because no rule changes were made).

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio, Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

Nazifa Sawez,
Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 2014–24113 Filed 10–9–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P